

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
2 SULLIVAN, LLP

3 Patrick D. Curran (Bar No. 241630)
4 patrickcurran@quinnemanuel.com
5 111 Huntington Ave., Suite 520
6 Boston, Massachusetts 02199
7 Telephone: (617) 712-7100
8 Facsimile: (617) 712-7200

9 Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC

ALYSSA CARIDIS (STATE BAR NO.
260103)
acaridis@orrick.com
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
Telephone: +1 415 773 5700
Facsimile: +1 415 773 5759

Attorneys for Defendant Sonos, Inc.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

GOOGLE LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SONOS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-04552-AMO

**JOINT STATUS REPORT
PURSUANT TO DKT. 22**

1 Pursuant to the Court's order of November 20, 2024 (Dkt. 22), Plaintiff Google LLC
2 ("Google") and Defendant Sonos, Inc. ("Sonos") submit this joint status report regarding this case.

3 On August 8, 2022, Google filed its complaint asserting infringement U.S. Patent Nos.
4 10,593,330, 10,134,398, and 7,705,565 (the "'330 Patent," "'398 Patent," and "'565 Patent,"
5 respectively). Dkt. 1.

6 On August 9, 2022, Google filed a complaint in the ITC alleging a violation of Section 337
7 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337 ("Section 337") based on alleged
8 infringement of the same three patents. The ITC instituted an Investigation responsive to Google's
9 complaint, styled ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1329 ("the 1329 Investigation").

10 On September 12, 2022, this Court stayed this case pending the disposition of the 1329
11 Investigation. Dkt. 13.

12 On October 18, 2022, the ITC terminated the 1329 Investigation as to the '565 Patent.

13 On May 17, 2023, Sonos moved for summary determination in the 1329 Investigation that
14 the '330 and '398 Patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as indefinite. Google opposed this
15 motion.

16 On May 15, 2024, the U.S. Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB") found the asserted
17 claims of the '398 Patent and the '330 Patent invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103. Google has
18 appealed these decisions to the Federal Circuit. Google filed its opening appeal brief on November
19 18, 2024, Sonos filed its response brief on February 26, 2025, and Google filed its reply brief on
20 April 9, 2025. The parties will promptly inform the Court once a decision from the Federal Circuit
21 is received.

22 On July 31, 2024, Sonos moved again for summary determination in the 1329 Investigation
23 that the '398 Patent and '330 Patent are invalid for the same reasons that the PTAB concluded these
24 patents were invalid. Google opposed this motion.

25 On March 7, 2025, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the 1329 Investigation
26 issued a claim construction order finding the asserted claims of the '330 Patent and '398 Patent
27 invalid as indefinite, and issued an initial determination granting Sonos' first motion for summary
28 determination of invalidity based on indefiniteness. On the same day, the ALJ issued an order

1 denying Sonos' motion for summary determination that the '398 Patent and '330 Patent are invalid
 2 for the same reasons that the PTAB concluded these patents were invalid.

3 Google has filed a petition for Commission review of the ALJ's initial determination
 4 granting Sonos' motion for summary determination based on indefiniteness. Sonos' response to
 5 Google's petition for review is due on June 5, 2025.

6 The target date in the 1329 Investigation is currently July 7, 2025.

7 The parties agree that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659 this case should remain stayed until a
 8 decision from the Commission in the 1329 Investigation becomes final. The parties will promptly
 9 inform the Court once that occurs.

10
 11 Respectfully submitted,

12 Dated: June 2, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

13 /s/ Patrick Curran

/s/ Alyssa Caridis

14 Patrick Curran

Alyssa Caridis

15 Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC

Attorneys for SONOS INC.

16 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
 SULLIVAN, LLP

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
 LLP

17 *Counsel for Plaintiff Google LLC*

Counsel for Defendant Sonos Inc.

ECF ATTESTATION

I, Patrick Curran, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Counsel for Sonos has concurred in this filing.

Dated: June 2, 2025

By: /s/ Patrick Curran